

**DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY / BLUE RIDGE REGIONAL OFFICE, ROANOKE
CEI GENERAL PERMIT FOR NONMETALLIC MINERAL MINING INSPECTION REPORT
PREFACE**

VPDES/State Certification No.	(RE) Issuance Date	Amendment Date	Expiration Date
VAG840067	July 1, 2019		June 30, 2024
Facility Name	Address		Telephone Number
Rockydale Quarry	4754 Old Rocky Mount Rd Roanoke, VA 24014		(540) 597-5017
Owner Name	Address		Telephone Number
Rockydale Quarries Corp.	P.O. Box 8425 Roanoke, VA 24014-0425		(540) 597-5017
Responsible Official	Title		Telephone Number
Michael Starr	Safety, Health and Environmental Coordinator		(540) 597-5017
Responsible Operator	Title		Telephone Number
Michael Starr	Safety, Health and Environmental Coordinator		(540) 597-5017
TYPE OF FACILITY: Crushed Limestone Quarry			
DOMESTIC		INDUSTRIAL	
Federal	Major	Major	Primary
Non-federal	Minor	Minor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Secondary
INFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS:		DESIGN:	
	Flow	NA	
	Population Served	NA	
	Connections Served	NA	
	BOD ₅	NA	
	TSS	NA	
EFFLUENT LIMITS: SPECIFY UNITS			
Parameter	Minimum	Average	Maximum
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS PAGE			
	Receiving Streams	UT Back Creek	
	Basin	Roanoke River	
Discharge Point (LAT)*	37-13-07 N	Discharge Point (LONG)*	79-57-21W
* Latitude and Longitude converted from the data in the Registration Statement provide to the DEQ on 06/17/2014.			

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Blue Ridge Regional Office, Roanoke

(Revised: 03-17-2015)

GENERAL PERMIT INSPECTION REPORT Technical Inspection and Lab/Records Evaluation

FACILITY NAME:	<u>Rockydale Quarry</u>	INSPECTOR:	<u>Chad H. Williams</u>
PERMIT No.:	<u>VAG# 840067</u>	INSPECTION DATE/TIME:	<u>01/13/2021</u>
TYPE OF FACILITY:	<u>Industrial - Nonmetallic Mineral Mining</u>	REPORT COMPLETED:	<u>03/01/2021</u>
COUNTY/CITY:	<u>Roanoke County</u>	UNANNOUNCED INSPECTION:	[] Yes [X] No
REVIEWED BY:	<u>S. C. Hale</u> <i>SCHe</i>	PHOTOS TAKEN?	[X] Yes [] No
		SAMPLES TAKEN?	[] Yes [X] No
PRESENT DURING INSPECTION: Michael Starr			

Technical Inspection Evaluation	Compliance Requests for Action? [X] YES [] No
Lab/Records Evaluation	Compliance Requests for Action? [X] YES [] No

* Note: Questions in report answered "NO" or items needing to be addressed

COMPLIANCE REQUESTS FOR ACTION

The following inspection report items (refer to section and question number in report) must be adequately addressed and a written response is required:

I. Operational Unit Review and Condition (specify):

There are no requests for action at this time for the operational unit review and condition section.

II. Effluent Monitoring and Reporting:

There are no requests for action at this time for the effluent monitoring and reporting section.

III. Record Keeping:

Please see the accompanying CEI General Permit Laboratory Inspection Report for the requests for corrective action.

IV. Special Conditions:

There are no requests for action at this time for the special conditions section.

V. Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan:

There are no requests for action at this time for the storm water pollution plan.

I. OPERATIONAL UNIT REVIEW AND CONDITION:

Describe Wastewater Treatment Units (include solids removal & disposal areas) and condition [Registration Statement and Fact Sheet]:

"Process wastewater" means any wastewater used in the slurry transport of mined material, air emissions control, or processing exclusive of mining, and any other water which becomes commingled with such wastewater in a pit, pond, lagoon, mine, or other facility used for treatment of such wastewater. It includes mine pit dewatering, water used in the process of washing stone, non-contact cooling water, wastewater from vehicle/equipment washing activities, return water from operations where mined material is dredged and miscellaneous plant cleanup wastewaters.

The process water system is designed to operate as "no discharge"

Describe Storm Water Treatment Units and Condition:

Treatment of the facility's storm water consists of 3 sedimentation ponds. Storm water associated with the active mining, crushing and processing area flows into a retention pond and into outfall 002. Storm water associated with the truck maintenance shop, lower lube pad and the "overburden" area flows into a retention pond and through outfall 003. Comingled water for process and storm water is collected in the quarry pit and is pumped in a holding pond at the front of the property and then to outfall 006. The ponds collect storm water run off and help keep sediment from the mining process from entering the stream below the outfalls. All ponds had several feet of freeboard and appear to be in good condition.

Condition of Effluent:

Neither pond was discharging at the time of this inspection.

Condition of Receiving Stream:

Outfall 002 discharges into a concrete lined ditch that eventually flows into an unnamed tributary of Back Creek. Outfall 003 discharges into a riprap lined ditch that eventually flows into an unnamed tributary of Ore Branch. The receiving streams were not observed.

Outfall 006 discharges into a riprap lined ditch that eventually flows into an unnamed tributary of Back Creek.

II. EFFLUENT MONITORING & REPORTING:

1. Are all required process wastewater, mine pit dewatering and commingled storm water parameters sampled at least once per three months if any discharge occurs during the period? [Part I.A.1] YES NA
2. Is TPH monitored for outfalls that receive discharges of process wastewater from vehicle/equipment washing facilities or activities? [Part I.A.1] YES NA
3. Are all required storm water events sampled at least once per year (occurring at least 72 hours from previous storm event, grab sample within 30 minutes of discharge) if any discharge occurs during the period? [Part II.A.2] YES NA
4. Are sampling locations according to permit requirements? [Part II.A.1] YES NO
5. Is pH analyzed within 15 minutes with calibrated pH meter? [Part III.A&B] YES NA
6. Are TSS & TPH samples preserved properly (TSS iced and TPH acidified to pH < 2 and iced) and shipped at < 6 °C to an appropriate lab and are sample holding times met (TSS ≤ 7 days & TPH ≤ 28 days)? [Part III.A.2] YES NA

Specify Lab:

**Pace Analytical 225 Airport Industrial Park Road
Beaver, West Virginia 25813. VELAP ID: 460148**

Comments:

III. RECORD KEEPING:

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| 1. Do Storm Water Management records include: the duration between the storm event sampled and the end of the previous measurable storm event and submitted with DMR as required? [Part II.A] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO |
| 2. If permittee assumes two or more exclusively storm water outfalls constitute "substantially identical effluents", has the required explanation, estimate of the size of the drainage area and run-off coefficient of the drainage area been submitted? [Part II.B] | <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA |
| 3. Have the required sampling waiver procedures been followed when applicable? [Part II.C] | <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA |
| 4. Is a Certificate of Analysis and Chain of Custody documentation maintained? [Part III.A&B] | <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA |
| 5. Do lab records include sampling date & time, analysis date & time, sample location, test methods, and analyst's name? [Part III.B] | <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA |
| 6. Are records maintained for at least three years? [Part III.B] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO |
| 7. Are DMRs submitted by the 10 th day of the month after monitoring takes place and is the DMR complete and correct and are all sampling results reported? [Part III.C] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO |
| 8. Is plant personnel aware of reporting requirements for "unauthorized discharges", "unusual or extraordinary discharges", and "noncompliance" and have none occurred? [Part III.F, G, H, & I] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO |

Comments:**IV. SPECIAL CONDITIONS:**

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| 1. Is vehicle/equipment operation and maintenance; fuels, lubricants, coolant, hydraulic fluids, petroleum products spillage clean up and disposal handled in a manner to not allow their entry into surface water or groundwater? [Part I.B.1] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO |
| 2. Is sewage handled to prevent discharge unless under separate VPDES permit? [Part I.B.2] | <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA |
| 3. Have all chemicals added to the discharge (e.g., polymers, flocculents) been listed on the approved registration statement or approved by the DEQ Director? [Part I.B.3] | <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA |
| 4. Has a new registration statement been submitted within 30 days if the approved DMM permit has been modified or reissued in any way that would affect the outfall location or the characteristics of a discharge? [Part I.B.4] | <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA |
| 5. Is all raw or intermediate materials, final product, by-product or wastes handled, disposed of or stored to prevent a discharge of such product, materials or wastes to State Waters? [Part I.B.7] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO |
| 6. Is there no discharge of process wastewater pollutants from co-located asphalt paving material operations? [Part I.B.8] | <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA |

Comments:

General Permit Inspection Report

V. STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):

- | | | |
|--|---------|--------|
| 1. Has a SWPPP been developed and implemented? [Part II.D&E] | [X] YES | [] NO |
| 2. Were the SWPPP, compliance inspection report, and other information available and is the SWPPP current? [Part II.F&G] | [X] YES | [] NO |
| 3. Contents must include [Part II.H]: | | |
| Pollution prevention team identification and responsibilities [Part II.H.1] | [X] YES | [] NO |
| Description of potential pollutant sources must include [Part II.H.2]: | [X] YES | [] NO |
| [X] Detailed site drainage map [Part II.H.2.a] | | |
| [X] Inventory of exposed materials [Part II.H.2.b] | | |
| [X] Updated list of spills and leaks of toxic or hazardous pollutants [Part II.H.2.c] | | |
| [X] Sampling data [Part II.H.2.d] | | |
| [X] Risk identification and summary of potential pollutant sources [Part II.H.2.e] | | |
| Measures and controls must include [Part II.H.3]: | [X] YES | [] NO |
| [X] Good housekeeping [Part II.H.3.a] | | |
| [X] Preventive maintenance [Part II.H.3.b] | | |
| [X] Spill prevention and response procedures [Part II.H.3.c] | | |
| [X] Quarterly inspections and visual exam of storm water samples plus documentation and follow up tracking and procedures [Part II.H.3.d] | | |
| [X] Employee training [Part II.H.3.e] | | |
| [X] Record keeping and internal reporting procedures [Part II.H.3.f] | | |
| [X] Sediment and erosion control [Part II.H.3.g] | | |
| [X] Management of run-off [Part II.H.3.h] | | |
| Annual Comprehensive site compliance evaluation [Part II.H.4]: | [X] YES | [] NO |
| [X] Visual inspection of all areas contributing to a storm water discharge with industrial activity; evaluation of measures to reduce pollutant loadings; observing structural storm water management measures, sediment and erosion control measures, and other structural pollution prevention measures; visual inspection of equipment needed to implement the plan [Part II.H.4.a] | | |
| [NA] Based on results of evaluation, revise Part II.H.2 and Part II.H.3 [Part II.H.4.b] | | |
| [X] Compliance inspection report summarizing the scope of the evaluation, personnel making evaluation, dates of evaluation, major observations, actions taken, certification of compliance and signatory requirements met [Part II.H.4.c] | | |

Comments:

General Permit Inspection Report

Facility Inspection Photos



Drainage area outfall 001



Storm water pond prior to outfall 002



Outfall 002



Storm water pond and drainage



Outfall 003

**DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY – BLUE RIDGE REGIONAL OFFICE, ROANOKE
CEI GENERAL PERMIT LABORATORY INSPECTION REPORT SUMMARY**

FACILITY NAME:	Rockydale Quarry	Permit #:	VAG840067	INSPECTION DATE:	03/09/2016
LABORATORY EVALUATION			No required actions at this time		
		X	REQUIRED CORRECTIVE ACTION(S) IDENTIFIED		
SUMMARY of REQUESTS FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION					

QUALITY ASSURANCE / QUALITY CONTROL

There were no deficiencies noted with the Quality Assurance / Quality Control section.

LABORATORY RECORDS

There were no deficiencies noted with the Laboratory Records section.

GENERAL SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

A deficiency was noted with the General Sampling and Analysis section. The following item must be corrected:



- The automatic temperature compensator (ATC) of the pH meter must be checked against an NIST or NIST traceable thermometer annually. Any correction offset must be tagged on the unit. Agreement with NIST thermometer must be within +/- 1.0°C, otherwise the unit must be repaired or replaced. Any measurement value obtained using this meter must be “flagged” until it can be checked against the NIST traceable.

pH Analysis

There were no deficiencies noted with the pH parameter.

OTHER – Comments or Observations

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY – BLUE RIDGE REGIONAL OFFICE, ROANOKE
CEI GENERAL PERMIT LABORATORY INSPECTION REPORT
 11/2014

PERMIT #: VAG840067	INSPECTION DATE: 01/13/2021	PREVIOUS INSP. DATE: 03/09/2016	PREVIOUS EVALUATION: Deficiencies	TIME SPENT: 20 hours w/ travel & report
NAME/ADDRESS OF FACILITY: Rockydale Quarry 4754 Old Rocky Mount Road Roanoke, VA 24014	FACILITY CLASS: () MAJOR () MINOR () MINOR (Small) (X) VPDES GENERAL	FACILITY TYPE: () MUNICIPAL (X) INDUSTRIAL () FEDERAL		UNANNOUNCED INSPECTION? () YES (X) NO FY-SCHEDULED INSPECTION? (X) YES () NO
Mailing Address P.O. Box 8425 Roanoke, VA 24014-0425				
INSPECTOR(S): Chad H. Williams 	REVIEWER(S): S. C. Hale 	PRESENT AT INSPECTION: Michael Starr		
LABORATORY EVALUATION			DEFICIENCIES?	
			Yes	No
QUALITY ASSURANCE / QUALITY CONTROL				X
LABORATORY RECORDS				X
GENERAL SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS			X	
pH PROCEDURE				X
VELAP CERTIFICATION (on site Environmental Laboratory)			Yes	No
Does the laboratory have VELAP certification (interim or final)?				X
– Document the laboratory's VELAP laboratory number:			NA	
– List the certified parameters:			NA	
VELAP ACCREDITATION (Commercial Environmental Laboratory)			Yes	No
IS A VELAP ACCREDITED LAB USED FOR OTHER PERMIT REQUIRED ANALYSES? VELAP#, LAB NAME, ADDRESS and LIST PARAMETERS:			(Yes)	(No)
VELAP #	LAB NAME:	PARAMETERS:		
460148	Pace Analytical 225 Airport Industrial Park Road Beaver, West Virginia 25813	Total Suspended Solids	X	
IF PERMIT REQUIRED SAMPLE ANALYSIS IS PERFORMED AT ANOTHER LOCATION, ARE SHIPPING PROCEDURES ADEQUATE?			(Yes) NA	(No)

PERMIT #: VAG840067

LABORATORY RECORDS SECTION

LABORATORY RECORDS INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:

X	SAMPLING DATE	X	ANALYSIS DATE	NA	CONT MONITORING CHART
X	SAMPLING TIME	X	ANALYSIS TIME	X	INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION
X	SAMPLE LOCATION	X	TEST METHOD	X	INSTRUMENT MAINTENANCE
				X	CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

WRITTEN INSTRUCTIONS INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:

X	SAMPLING SCHEDULES	X	CALCULATIONS	X	ANALYSIS PROCEDURES
---	--------------------	---	--------------	---	---------------------

	YES	NO	N/A
DO ALL ANALYSTS INITIAL THEIR WORK?	X		
DO BENCH SHEETS (or LOG BOOK) INCLUDE ALL INFORMATION NECESSARY TO DETERMINE RESULTS?	X		
IS THE DMR COMPLETE AND CORRECT? LIST MONTH(S) REVIEWED: December 2021	X		
ARE ALL MONITORING VALUES REQUIRED BY THE PERMIT REPORTED?	X		
DOES CHAIN OF CUSTODY DOCUMENT PROPER SAMPLE PRESERVATION WAS MET?	X		
WHEN THE CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS CONTAINS FLAGGED DATA IS THE 'FLAG' REPORTED ON THE DMR?	X		

GENERAL SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SECTION

	YES	NO	N/A
ARE SAMPLE LOCATIONS ACCORDING TO PERMIT REQUIREMENTS?	X		
ARE PERMIT REQUIRED SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES APPROPRIATE?	X		
ARE EFFLUENT SAMPLES REPRESENTATIVE OF THE MONITORED ACTIVITY?	X		
ARE PERMIT REQUIRED COMPOSITE SAMPLES FLOW PROPORTIONAL? NOTE: Equal volume composite aliquots are acceptable <i>if the measured flow for each aliquot is within ± 10% of the monitoring period's average flow.</i> Some permits specify how the composite is to be taken (e.g., 5G/8HC).			X
IS COLLECTION/SAMPLE EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE?		X ^Δ	
IS FLOW MEASUREMENT ACCORDING TO PERMIT REQUIREMENTS?	X		

Δ The automatic temperature compensator (ATC) of the pH meter must be checked against an NIST or NIST traceable thermometer annually. The facility's pH meter has not been checked since 2011 and is overdue. Any correction offset must be tagged on the unit. Agreement with NIST thermometer must be within +/- 1.0°C, otherwise the unit must be repaired or replaced. Any measurement value obtained using this meter must be "flagged" until it can be checked against the NIST traceable.

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY – BLUE RIDGE REGIONAL OFFICE, ROANOKE
SAMPLE ANALYSIS HOLDING TIME / CONTAINER/PRESERVATION CHECK SHEET

Revised 11/2014 [40 CFR, Part 136.3, Table II]

FACILITY NAME:		Rockydale Quarry				VPDES NO	VAG840067	DATE:	01/13/2021			
HOLDING TIMES [Note: Collection period (for composites) and Sample Collection time (end of collection period) must be recorded on the COC.]		SAMPLE CONTAINER		PRESERVATION [Note: Preservation is to occur within 15 minutes of the end of the collection period.]								
PARAMETER	APPROVED	MET?	LOGGED?	ADEQ. VOLUME		APPROP. TYPE	APPROVED	MET?		CHECKED?		
				Y	N			Y	N		Y	N
pH	15 MIN.	X	X	Y	N	X			Y	N	Y	N
CHLORINE	15 MIN.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Within 15 minutes					
DISSOLVED O ₂	15 MIN	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Within 15 minutes					
TEMPERATURE	IMMERSION STAB.	X	X	X	X	X	N/A - Immediately					
BOD5 & CBOD5	48 HOURS						≤ 6 °C		NA		NA	
TSS	7 DAYS						≤ 6 °C		X		X	
OIL & GREASE	28 DAYS						≤ 6 °C + H ₂ SO ₄ , or HCl pH<2		NA		NA	
FECAL COLIFORM / E. coli / Enterococci	8 HRS						< 10 °C + 0.008% Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃		NA		NA	
AMMONIA	28 DAYS						≤ 6 °C + H ₂ SO ₄ pH<2t		NA		NA	
TKN	28 DAYS						≤ 6 °C + H ₂ SO ₄ pH<2		NA		NA	
WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET)	36 HOURS						≤ 6 °C		NA		NA	
NITRATE+NITRITE	28 DAYS						≤ 6 °C + H ₂ SO ₄ pH<2		NA		NA	
NITRITE	48 HOURS						≤ 6 °C		NA		NA	
Cr ⁶	28 DAYS						Dissolved: 0.45 µm filter immediately. Buffer solution plus NaOH within 24 hrs		NA		NA	
PROBLEMS:												

EQUIPMENT TEMPERATURE LOG/THERMOMETER VERIFICATION CHECK SHEET

11/2014

FACILITY NAME:		Rockydale Quarry				PERMIT NO:	VAG840067	DATE:	01/13/2021			
EQUIPMENT	Preservation Range	In Range?		Inspector Reading	Checked & Logged Daily?		Correct Increment?	DATE CHECKED	MARKED	OFFSET VALUE (Correction)	ANNUAL THERMOMETER VERIFICATION	
		Yes	No		Yes	No					Yes/No	NA*
SAMPLE REFRIGERATOR	1 – 6 °C	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
NIST Traceable	± 0.01 °C	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
AUTO SAMPLER	1 – 6 °C	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
pH METER Oakton pHTestr® 30	± 1 °C	*	*	*	Reportedly, before each use	X	X	*	X	*	*	*
DO METER	± 1 °C	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
THERMOMETER-(EFFLUENT)	± 1 °C	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

PROBLEMS: * The automatic temperature compensator (ATC) of the pH meter must be checked against an NIST or NIST traceable thermometer annually. The facility's pH meter has not been checked. Any correction offset must be tagged on the unit. Agreement with NIST thermometer must be within +/- 1.0°C, otherwise the unit must be repaired or replaced. Any measurement value obtained using this meter must be "flagged" until it can be checked against the NIST traceable.

In addition, field instruments (e.g., pH meters) must be checked over the range of temperatures the instruments are likely to encounter while making field measurements. It is recommended field instruments measuring temperature be checked at 0 °C, (ice water), 20 °C (room temperature), and 40 °C (warm water).

	Michael Starr	VPDES NO	VAG840067
--	----------------------	----------	------------------

Meter: **Oakton pHTestr® 30**
S/N: **778209**

Parameter: Hydrogen Ion (pH)
Method: Electrometric
11/2014

METHOD OF ANALYSIS:

*	21 st Edition of Standard Methods (SM 21) – 4500-H ⁺ B-2000 (SM 21 pH)
	22 nd Edition of Standard Methods (SM 22), or Online Editions of Standard Methods – 4500-H ⁺ B-2011 (SM 22 pH)

pH is a method-defined analyte so modifications are not allowed. [40 CFR Part 136.6]

	Y	N
1) Is a certificate of operator competence or initial demonstration of capability available for <u>each analyst/operator</u> performing this analysis? NOTE: Analyze 4 samples of known pH; you may use an external source of buffers or other known standards (different lot/manufacturer than buffers used to calibrate meter). Recovery for each of the 4 samples must be ± 0.2 pH units of the known concentration of the sample. [SM 1020 B.1] Note: The same pH buffer [values] used for calibration of the instrument can be used as LCS if from a different source or lot .	X	
2) IF a replicate sample is analyzed is there a written procedure for which result will be reported on DMR (Sample or Replicate) and is this procedure being followed? [DEQ – based on EPA Good Laboratory Practices Standards]	NA	
3) Is a Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) tested at least annually and are results within acceptance criteria? [SM 21 B.2 or SM 22 1020 B.3.] NOTE: LCS should be a purchased Proficiency Test (PT) sample or a different buffer other than ones used for calibration of the meter [with a ± 0.1 pH units acceptance range or within “Acceptable Range” specified by the PT provider].. NOTE: The same pH buffer [values] used for calibration of the instrument can be used as LCS if from a different source or different lot.	X	
4) Is the electrode in good condition (no chloride precipitate, scratches, deterioration, etc.)? [SM 21 pH or SM 22 pH 2.b./c. and 5.b.]	NA*	
5) Is electrode storage solution in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? [SM 21 pH or SM 22 pH 4.a. and Mfr.]	NA*	
6) Is meter calibrated on at least a daily basis using three buffers all of which are at the same temperature? [SM 21 pH or SM 22 pH 4.a.] NOTE: Start with Buffer 7 unless manufacturer's instructions state otherwise. NOTE: If meter is not capable of 3 buffer calibration use 2 buffers bracketing the expected sample pH and then <u>measure</u> a 3 rd buffer (the measurement value recorded must be ± 0.1 pH units), and then <u>re-read</u> and <u>record</u> value of buffer 7 to ensure ± 0.1 SU.]	On days used	
7) After calibration, is a buffer analyzed as a check sample to verify that calibration is correct? Verification measurement should be within ± 0.1 pH units. [SM 21 1020 B 10.c. or SM 22 1020 B 11.c.]	NA*	
8) Is calibration verification measurement repeated with every 10 samples and at the end of a series of samples? Verification measurement should be within ± 0.1 pH units. [SM 21 pH or SM 22 pH 4020 B 2.b.] NOTE: Not applicable if pH meter is calibrated before taking any measurement (e.g., if operator monitors daily pH at more than one facility and calibrates before each measurement).	NA	
9) Do the buffer solutions appear to be free of contamination or growths? [SM 21 pH or SM 22 pH 3.a.]	NA*	
10) Are buffer solutions within the listed shelf-life or have they been prepared within the last 4 weeks? [SM 21 pH or SM 22 pH 3.a.]	NA*	
11) Is the cap or sleeve covering the access hole on the reference electrode removed when	NA*	

measuring pH? [Mfr.]

- 12) Is sample analyzed within 15 minutes of collections? [40 CFR Part 136]
- 13) Is the electrode rinsed and then blotted dry between reading solutions (Disregard if a portion of the next sample analyzed is used as the rinsing solution.)? [SM 21 pH or SM 22 pH 4.a and 4.b]
- 14) Is the sample stirred gently at a constant speed during measurement? [SM 21 pH or SM 22 pH 4.b.]
- 15) Does the meter hold a steady reading after reaching equilibrium? [4.b.]

NA*	
NA*	
NA*	
NA*	

PROBLEMS:

COMMENTS:

***The pH meter was not observed due to Covid 19 protocols.**